

## Comments

### South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options (29/03/17 to 17/05/17)

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	803
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:12
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing, Polciy H11

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Support
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment

#### Your comments:

Commend and support Policy H11 – Affordable Housing, in particular the reduction of the threshold for provision of affordable from 11 or more dwellings to 6 or more in AONB. Goring wants to see affordable housing built in Goring and not 'exported' as a financial contribution to affordable housing elsewhere. Consultation during Neighbourhood Plan development identified significant unmet demand for affordable homes in the village.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	805
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:14
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing, Policy H13 - Meeting Housing Needs

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Support  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

Commend and support Policy H13 – Meeting Housing Needs, to improve design, space standards and lifetime homes standards. We would like to see this strengthened maybe here, or elsewhere in the Plan, to include provision specifically for older residents wishing to downsize, releasing larger properties for young family use. There is a large demand for this type of accommodation in Goring.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	807
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:17
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing, Policy H15 - Specialist Accommodation for Older People

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Comment

**Your comments:**

Require Policy H15 – Comment Specialist Care Accommodation for Older People to take account of the local demographic and the existing provision of specialist housing for older people. It is important to ensure that individual communities do not become 'unbalanced' in age structure by disproportionate growth of the elderly care industry in smaller communities such as Goring. This can place undue pressures on infrastructure such as medical and care services and can reduce the amount of housing available for the younger population. Goring already has a significant number of elderly care beds, including some occupied by out of area residents

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	809
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:18
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )

**Status** Submitted  
**Submission Type** Web  
**Version** 0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H4 - Housing in Larger Villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Support  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

Policy H4. Commend and support recognition that “Development in the larger villages should be proportional, appropriate and dependent on existing infrastructure” (5,17) and “Some villages are constrained by factors such as Green Belt, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and flood zones. In these villages a 15% growth may not be fully achievable. Other villages are unconstrained and can plan for more than 15% growth. The level of growth proposed should be evidenced within the Neighbourhood Development Plan with local communities helping to shape the development of their village” (5.28)

---

**Comment by** Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)  
**Comment ID** 810  
**Response Date** 17/05/17 11:21  
**Consultation Point** South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ([View](#))  
**Status** Submitted  
**Submission Type** Web  
**Version** 0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Object  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

Neighbourhood plans take longer than 12 months to complete. The length of this period is too restrictive and does not take into account individual plan circumstances. No time period should be set, it is sufficient to just say that adequate progress should be made

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

Neighbourhood plans take longer than 12 months to complete. The length of this period is too restrictive and does not take into account individual plan circumstances. No time period should be set, it is sufficient to just say that adequate progress should be made

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	811
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:22
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Object
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment

**Your comments:**

SODC should have to justify why it considers adequate progress is not being made before granting any planning application.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

SODC should have to justify why it considers adequate progress is not being made before granting any planning application.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	814
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:23
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Object  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

SODC should have to justify why it considers adequate progress is not being made before granting any planning application and must consult with the relevant neighbourhood plan body before considering any planning application.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

SODC should be required to consult with the relevant neighbourhood plan body before considering any planning application.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	815
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:24
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** . Object  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

This policy does not acknowledge any capacity constraints that may exist in the area of the neighbourhood plan. The policy should require SODC to consult with relevant neighbourhood plan body before considering any planning application.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

This policy does not acknowledge any capacity constraints that may exist in the area of the neighbourhood plan. The policy should require SODC to consult with relevant neighbourhood plan body before considering any planning application.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	817
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:25

<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

.  Object  
.  Comment

**Your comments:**

The local plan acknowledges that there may be capacity constraints that restrict the ability of a neighbourhood plan to meet the number of dwellings prescribed in the local plan and makes an overall over allocation as a contingency against the combination of allocations by all methods failing to meet the district wide housing need. SODC should be required to prove that it is failing against its plan before it can activate any contingency policy on larger villages which either have a made plan or are in the process for developing a plan.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

The local plan acknowledges that there may be capacity constraints that restrict the ability of a neighbourhood plan to meet the number of dwellings prescribed in the local plan and makes an overall over allocation as a contingency against the combination of allocations by all methods failing to meet the district wide housing need. SODC should be required to prove that it is failing against its plan before it can activate any contingency policy on larger villages which either have a made plan or are in the process for developing a plan.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	818
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:26
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Settlements and Housing. Policy H5 Contingency policy for larger villages

Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option) . Object  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

Policy should make clear that it does not apply to plans which were made after the adoption of the local plan or were made during the local plan 2033 consultation process and which have taken into account the policies in the consultation version of the local plan at the time of submission of the plan.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

Policy should make clear that it does not apply to plans which were made after the adoption of the local plan or were made during the local plan 2033 consultation process and which have taken into account the policies in the consultation version of the local plan at the time of submission of the plan.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	821
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:30
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

HeIAA 2017

Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option) . Comment

**Your comments:**

HELAA 2017 – Arup proformas are presented in an unhelpful manner that discourages consultation. It's hard to find sites because they are identified by code numbers instead of site names/addresses.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	822
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:30
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web

Version 0.1

Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:

Supporting evidence SHLAA 2013

Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option) . Comment

Your comments:

SHLAA 2013 is equally impenetrable. In both cases, interactive maps with links to sites would enable easier access

---

Comment by	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
Comment ID	824
Response Date	17/05/17 11:31
Consultation Point	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:

Supporting evidence HELAA 2017

Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)

Your comments:

HELAA 2017 – Arup proformas are presented in an unhelpful manner that discourages consultation. It's hard to find sites because they are identified by code numbers instead of site names/addresses.

---

Comment by	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
Comment ID	825
Response Date	17/05/17 11:32
Consultation Point	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web



**Version** 0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Supporting evidence SLLAA

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** .  Comment

**Your comments:**

Some of these sites in Goring are duplicated, overlapping, have previously been rejected by SODC or are already built on.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	826
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:33
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Environment, Policy ENV1 - Landscape and Countryside

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)** .  Support  
.  Comment

**Your comments:**

Commend and support Policy ENV1 – Landscape and Countryside. Goring is within one AONB and overlooked by a second.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	827
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:33
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web

Version 0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Environment, Policy ENV1 - Landscape and Countryside

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

Support  
 Comment

**Your comments:**

Commend and support Policy ENV1 – Landscape and Countryside. Goring is within one AONB and overlooked by a second.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	828
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:35
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Supporting evidence Evaluation of Transport Impacts Stage 1 – Development scenarios and Technical note, 2016.

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

Object  
 Comment

**Your comments:**

Evaluation of Transport Impacts Stage 1 – Development scenarios and Technical note, 2016. Strategic traffic modelling for traffic impact fails to include Goring in the fully modelled, despite the existence of a strategic river crossing and a rail station, both of which attract traffic. There is some evidence that most of the 6000 vehicles/day (2016) which pass OCC's ATC CP191 point on the Goring river bridge is through traffic, so strategic allocations of new housing in the south of the District could impact considerably on the traffic situation in the centre of the village. Goring residents believe there is already too much traffic in the village and traffic growth from site allocations for new housing in the Neighbourhood Plan must also be accommodated.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

•Evaluation of Transport Impacts Stage 1 – Development scenarios and Technical note, 2016. Strategic traffic modelling for traffic impact fails to include Goring in the fully modelled, despite the existence of a strategic river crossing and a rail station, both of which attract traffic. There is some evidence that

most of the 6000 vehicles/day (2016) which pass OCC's ATC CP191 point on the Goring river bridge is through traffic, so strategic allocations of new housing in the south of the District could impact considerably on the traffic situation in the centre of the village. Goring residents believe there is already too much traffic in the village and traffic growth from site allocations for new housing in the Neighbourhood Plan must also be accommodated.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	829
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:35
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Sustainable Transport Study Table 1

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Object
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment

**Your comments:**

•Sustainable Transport Study Table 1 – out of date rail passenger figures for Goring. SODC regard Goring as a highly sustainable location because of its rail station. However, in practice the sustainability is limited by the availability of parking for commuters. The station actually serves a large area, and there is a significant and increasing demand for parking spaces. The station car park has limited capacity both now and will have in the future as space is limited. A significant number of station users already park in nearby streets causing considerable inconvenience to local residents and businesses. Future sustainability of the station will depend upon provision of additional parking spaces for travelers, which is impractical because of the location of Goring in the AONB and the competing demand for development land for housing

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

•Sustainable Transport Study Table 1 – out of date rail passenger figures for Goring. SODC regard Goring as a highly sustainable location because of its rail station. However, in practice the sustainability is limited by the availability of parking for commuters. The station actually serves a large area, and there is a significant and increasing demand for parking spaces. The station car park has limited capacity both now and will have in the future as space is limited. A significant number of station users already park in nearby streets causing considerable inconvenience to local residents and businesses. Future sustainability of the station will depend upon provision of additional parking spaces for travelers, which is impractical because of the location of Goring in the AONB and the competing demand for development land for housing

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	831

<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:38
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Employment and Economy, Introduction – para 6.10 Page 88:

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

. Object  
. Comment

**Your comments:**

Employment and Economy • Introduction – para 6.10 Page 88: This section implies that there is a 'leakage' of highly skilled (and highly paid) residents to employment elsewhere. While it is reasonable to expect the Plan to encourage economic growth that would attract highly skilled employees, we contest the implication that existing residents might be expected to move to highly skilled employment within the District if such jobs were created. This does not reflect the underlying reasons why these people chose to come to live in the South Oxfordshire in the first place. The attraction of the area for people with highly skilled and well-paid jobs will undoubtedly continue as they seek to improve their quality of life but retain their existing professions. A balance needs to be maintained between economic growth and the characteristics of South Oxfordshire, an in particular Goring, which attracts people who choose to live here and work elsewhere.

**If you are objecting, please set out what change(s) are necessary to overcome your concerns:**

Employment and Economy • Introduction – para 6.10 Page 88: This section implies that there is a 'leakage' of highly skilled (and highly paid) residents to employment elsewhere. While it is reasonable to expect the Plan to encourage economic growth that would attract highly skilled employees, we contest the implication that existing residents might be expected to move to highly skilled employment within the District if such jobs were created. This does not reflect the underlying reasons why these people chose to come to live in the South Oxfordshire in the first place. The attraction of the area for people with highly skilled and well-paid jobs will undoubtedly continue as they seek to improve their quality of life but retain their existing professions. A balance needs to be maintained between economic growth and the characteristics of South Oxfordshire, an in particular Goring, which attracts people who choose to live here and work elsewhere.

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Goring Neighbourhood Plan (Mr Thomas Rothwell)
<b>Comment ID</b>	834
<b>Response Date</b>	17/05/17 11:40
<b>Consultation Point</b>	South Local Plan: Second Preferred Options - questions ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web

**Version**

0.1

**Please state the chapter number and planning policy reference or paragraph number you are commenting on:**

Infrastructure t Policy TRANS2 Promoting Sustainable Transport and Accessibility

**Do you wish to support, object or comment on the above policy? (you can tick more than one option)**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Support
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comment

**Your comments:**

Commend and support Policy TRANS2 Promoting Sustainable Transport and Accessibility, in particular item vi)